

F-Term Hybrid Inflation Followed by Modular Inflation

C. Pallis

*Departamento de Fisica Aplicada, Universidad de Huelva, 21071 Huelva, SPAIN
Department of Physics, University of Patras, Panepistimioupolis, GR-26500 Patras, GREECE*

Abstract. We consider the well motivated model of the (standard) *supersymmetric* (SUSY) *F-term hybrid inflation* (FHI) which can be realized close to the *grand unification* (GUT) scale. The predicted scalar spectral index n_s cannot be smaller than 0.98 and can exceed unity including corrections from minimal *supergravity* (SUGRA), if the number of e-foldings corresponding to the pivot scale $k_* = 0.002/\text{Mpc}$ is around 50. These results are marginally consistent with the fitting of the *five-year Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe* (WMAP5) data by the standard power-law cosmological model with cold dark matter and a cosmological constant, ΛCDM . However, n_s can be reduced by restricting the number of e-foldings that k_* suffered during FHI. The additional e-foldings required for solving the horizon and flatness problems can be generated by a subsequent stage of fast-roll [slow-roll] *modular inflation* (MI) realized by a string modulus which does [does not] acquire effective mass ($m_s|_{\text{eff}}$) before the onset of MI.

Keywords: Cosmology

PACS: 98.80.Cq

INTRODUCTION

We focus on the model of the (standard) SUSY FHI [1] which can be realized [2] adopting the superpotential $W = \kappa S (\bar{\Phi}\Phi - M^2)$ where $\Phi, \bar{\Phi}$ is a pair of left handed superfields belonging to non-trivial conjugate representations with dimensionality N of a gauge group G , and reducing its rank by their *vacuum expectation values* (VEVs), S is a G singlet left handed superfield and the parameters κ and M can be made positive.

W leads to the spontaneous breaking of G since from the emerging scalar potential $V_F = \kappa^2 M^4 ((\Phi^2 - 1)^2 + 2S^2\Phi^2)$ where $\Phi = |\Phi|/M$ and $S = |S|/M$ (we use the same symbol for the superfields and their scalar components) we can deduce that the vanishing of the F-terms gives the VEVs of the fields in the SUSY vacuum, $\langle S \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Phi \rangle = 1$ (the vanishing of the D-terms implies that $|\bar{\Phi}| = |\Phi|$). W gives also rise to FHI. This is due to the fact that, for $S > 1$, the direction with $\Phi = 0$ is a valley of local minima with constant V_F . The general form of the potential which can drive FHI reads

$$V_{\text{HI}} \simeq \kappa^2 M^4 + \frac{\kappa^4 M^4 N}{32\pi^2} \left(2 \ln \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma^2}{2Q^2} + 3 \right) + \kappa^2 M^4 \frac{\sigma^4}{8m_p^4}, \quad \text{with } \sigma = \sqrt{2}S. \quad (1)$$

Here, the 1st term is the dominant contribution to V_{HI} , the 2nd term (with Q being an arbitrary renormalization scale) is the contribution to V_{HI} due to logarithmic radiative corrections originating from the SUSY breaking on the inflationary valley and the 3rd term (with $m_p \simeq 2.44 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$) is the SUGRA correction [3] to V_{HI} , assuming minimal Kähler potential.

Under the assumption that the cosmological scales leave the horizon during FHI and are not reprocessed, we can extract:

- The number of e -foldings $N_{\text{HI}*} = \frac{1}{m_{\text{P}}^2} \int_{\sigma_{\text{f}}}^{\sigma_*} d\sigma \frac{V_{\text{HI}}}{V_{\text{HI}}'}$ that k_* suffered during FHI, where prime means derivation with respect to σ , σ_* is the value of σ when the scale k_* crossed outside the horizon of FHI and σ_{f} is the value of σ at the end of FHI, which coincides practically with the end of the phase transition $\sigma_{\text{c}} = M/\sqrt{2}$.
- The power spectrum of the curvature perturbations at k_* , $P_{\mathcal{R}*} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi m_{\text{P}}^3} \left. \frac{V_{\text{HI}}^{3/2}}{|V_{\text{HI}}'|} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma_*}$.
- The spectral index $n_s = 1 - 6\varepsilon_* + 2\eta_*$ and its running $\alpha_s = \frac{2(4\eta_*^2 - (n_s - 1)^2)}{3} - 2\xi_*$, where $\varepsilon \simeq \frac{m_{\text{P}}^2}{2} \left(\frac{V_{\text{HI}}'}{V_{\text{HI}}} \right)^2$, $\eta \simeq m_{\text{P}}^2 \frac{V_{\text{HI}}''}{V_{\text{HI}}'}$ and $\xi \simeq m_{\text{P}}^4 \frac{V_{\text{HI}}' V_{\text{HI}}'''}{V_{\text{HI}}'^2}$ and the subscript $*$ means that the quantities are evaluated for $\sigma = \sigma_*$.

If FHI is to produce the total amount of e -foldings, N_{tot} , needed for the resolution of the horizon and flatness problems of standard cosmology, i.e., $N_{\text{tot}} = N_{\text{HI}*} \simeq 50$, we get $n_s \sim 0.98 - 1$ which is just marginally consisted with the fitting of the WMAP5 data [4] by the standard power-law cosmological model ΛCDM , according to which

$$n_s = 0.963_{-0.015}^{+0.016} \Rightarrow 0.931 \lesssim n_s \lesssim 0.991 \quad (2)$$

at 95% confidence level with negligible a_s . However, for $\kappa \simeq (0.01 - 0.1)$ and $N_{\text{HI}*} \sim (15 - 20)$ we can obtain $n_s \simeq 0.96$. $N_{\text{tot}} - N_{\text{HI}*}$ can be produced during another stage of (complementary) inflation, realized at a lower scale. In this talk, which is based on Ref. [6], we show that MI can successfully play this role.

THE BASICS OF MODULAR INFLATION

After the gravity mediated soft SUSY breaking, the potential which can support MI has the form [5] $V_{\text{MI}} = V_{\text{MI}0} - m_s^2 s^2/2 + \dots$, with $V_{\text{MI}0} = v_s(m_{3/2} m_{\text{P}})^2$ and $m_s \sim m_{3/2}$ where $m_{3/2} \sim 1$ TeV is the gravitino mass, the coefficient v_s is of order unity and the ellipsis denotes terms which are expected to stabilize V_{MI} at $s \sim m_{\text{P}}$ with s being the canonically normalized string modulus. In this model, inflation can be of the slow or fast-roll type [7] depending on whether $|\eta_s| = m_{\text{P}}^2 |d^2 V_{\text{MI}}/ds^2|/V_{\text{MI}} = m_s^2/3H_s^2$ is lower or higher than unity, respectively. In both cases the solution of the equation of motion of s during MI is

$$s = s_{\text{Mi}} e^{F_s \Delta N_{\text{MI}}} \quad \text{with} \quad F_s \equiv \sqrt{9/4 + (m_s/H_s)^2} - 3/2, \quad (3)$$

with $H_s \simeq \sqrt{V_{\text{MI}0}}/\sqrt{3}m_{\text{P}}$, s_{Mi} the value of s at the onset of MI and ΔN_{MI} the number of the e -foldings obtained from $s = s_{\text{Mi}}$ until a given s . Through the use of Eq. (3) and considering that the final value of s , s_{f} , is close to its VEV, $s_{\text{f}} \sim m_{\text{P}}$, we can estimate the total number of e -foldings during MI, which is $N_{\text{MI}} \simeq \frac{1}{F_s} \ln \left(\frac{m_{\text{P}}}{s_{\text{Mi}}} \right)$. We observe that MI can not play successfully the role of complementary inflation for $s_{\text{Mi}}/m_{\text{P}} \gtrsim 0.1$.

OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS

Our double inflationary model needs to satisfy a number of constraints which arise from:

1. *The normalization of $P_{\mathcal{R}*}$* : We require [4] $P_{\mathcal{R}*}^{1/2} \simeq 4.86 \times 10^{-5}$.
2. *The resolution of the horizon and flatness problems*: We entail $N_{\text{HI}*} + N_{\text{MI}} \simeq 22.6 + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{V_{\text{HI0}}^{1/4}}{1 \text{ GeV}} + \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{T_{\text{Mrh}}}{1 \text{ GeV}}$ where we assumed that there is matter domination in the inter-inflationary era (T_{Mrh} is the reheat temperature after MI).
3. *The Low Enough Value of α_s* . Consistently with the power-law ΛCDM model we demand: $|\alpha_s| \ll 0.01$.
4. *The naturalness of MI*. For natural MI we need: $0.5 \leq v_s \leq 10 \Rightarrow 2.45 \geq \frac{m_s}{H_s} \geq 0.55$.
5. *The Nucleosynthesis Constraint*. This constraint dictates $T_{\text{Mrh}} > 1 \text{ MeV}$. In the absence of other specified interactions, s has just gravitational interactions. Therefore, $\Gamma_s \sim m_s^2/m_{\text{p}}^3$ and since $T_{\text{Mrh}} \sim \sqrt{\Gamma_s} m_{\text{p}}$, we need [6] $m_s \simeq m_{3/2} \geq 100 \text{ TeV}$.
6. *The evolution of the cosmological scales*. We have to ensure that the cosmological scales leave the horizon during FHI and do not re-enter the horizon before the onset of MI. This can be achieved [8] if $N_{\text{HI}*} \gtrsim N_{\text{HI}*}^{\text{min}} \simeq 3.9 + \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{V_{\text{HI0}}}{V_{\text{MI0}}} \sim 10$.
7. *The evolution of s before the onset of MI*. (i) If $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$, we assume that FHI lasts long enough so that s is completely randomized. We further require that all s 's belong to the randomization region [9] with equal possibility, i.e., $V_{\text{MI0}} \lesssim H_{\text{HI0}}^4$ where $H_{\text{HI0}} = \sqrt{V_{\text{HI0}}}/\sqrt{3}m_{\text{p}}$. (ii) If $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$, we assume that s is decoupled from the visible sector superfields both in Kähler potential and superpotential and has canonical Kähler potential, $K_s = s^2/2$. Therefore the value s_{min} at which the SUGRA potential has a minimum is $s_{\text{min}} = 0$. We obtain for the value of s at the onset of MI: $s_{\text{Mi}} \simeq m_{\text{p}} (V_{\text{MI0}}/V_{\text{HI0}})^{1/4} e^{-3N_{\text{HI}}/2}$ where $s_{\text{Hi}} \simeq m_{\text{p}}$ is the value of s at the onset of FHI and N_{HI} the total number of e-foldings during FHI.
8. *The homogeneity of the present universe*. If $\delta s|_{\text{MI}}$ [$\delta s|_{\text{HMI}}$] are the quantum fluctuations of s during MI [FHI which enter the horizon of MI], we require $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{MI}} \simeq H_s/2\pi$ and $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{HMI}}$. (i) If $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$, $\delta s|_{\text{HMI}} \simeq H_{\text{HI0}}/2\pi \gg \delta s|_{\text{MI}}$. (ii) If $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$, $\delta s|_{\text{HMI}} \simeq H_s/3^{1/4}2\pi < \delta s|_{\text{MI}}$ and so, $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{MI}} \simeq H_s/2\pi \Rightarrow N_{\text{HI}} \leq N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}}$ where $N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}} = -\frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{(V_{\text{HI0}}V_{\text{MI0}})^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{3}\pi m_{\text{p}}^2} \sim (15 - 18)$. This result signalizes an ugly tuning since it would be more reasonable FHI has a long duration due to the flatness of V_{HI} . This could be evaded if we had $s_{\text{min}} \neq 0$ (as in Ref. [10]).

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

In our numerical investigation, we take $N = 2$ and $m_{3/2} = m_s = 100 \text{ TeV}$ which results to $T_{\text{Mrh}} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$. Our results are displayed in Table 1 for $n_s = 0.963$, $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ or $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ and selected κ 's which delineate the allowed regions. For $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ we place $s_{\text{Mi}}/m_{\text{p}} = 0.01$. This choice signalizes a very mild tuning (see point 7). For $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$, s_{Mi} is evaluated dynamically (see point 7). However, due to our ignorance of N_{HI} , we can derive a maximal [minimal] m_s/H_s which corresponds to $N_{\text{HI}} = N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}}$ [$N_{\text{HI}} = N_{\text{HI}*}$].

TABLE 1. Input and output parameters of our scenario which are consistent with the requirements 1-8 for $n_s = 0.963$ and selected κ 's, when the inflaton of MI does [does not] acquire effective mass ($m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ [$m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$]).

	$m_s _{\text{eff}} = 0$			$m_s _{\text{eff}} \neq 0$			
κ	0.04	0.09	0.14	0.0028	0.006	0.085	0.14
$M/10^{16}$ GeV	0.87	0.98	1.07	0.74	0.8	0.97	1.07
$\sigma_*/10^{16}$ GeV	12.1	20.93	25.88	1.56	2.26	20.1	25.88
N_{HI^*}	22.6	16.12	11.9	8.4	17.4	16.5	11.9
$-\alpha_s/10^{-3}$	2	5	10	2.4	1.5	4.8	10
N_{MI}	21.2	28	32.5	34.1	25.7	27.6	32.5
m_s/H_s	0.8	0.72	0.67	1.44 – 1.96	2.35	2.25	1.78 – 2.02

We observe that (i) for $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ [$m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$], the lowest κ 's are derived from the condition 7 [6] and therefore, lower κ 's are allowed for $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$; (ii) the upper κ 's come from the condition 3; (iii) for $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ [$m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$], MI is of slow [fast]-roll type since $m_s/H_s \sim (0.6 - 0.8)$ [$m_s/H_s \sim (1.4 - 2.35)$]; (v) for $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ FHI is constrained to be of short duration since $N_{\text{HI}} \leq N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}} \simeq (16 - 17)$ and as a consequence, the region $0.006 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.085$ is disallowed; (vi) in both cases, the allowed M 's increase with κ 's but remain slightly below the GUT scale, $M_{\text{GUT}} \simeq 2.86 \cdot 10^{16}$ GeV. In total, we obtain $0.04 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.14$ [$0.0028 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.006$ and $0.085 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.14$] for $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ [$m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$].

In conclusion, we showed that the results on n_s within FHI can be reconciled with data if FHI is followed by MI realized by a string modulus s . Acceptable n_s 's can be obtained by restricting N_{HI^*} . The most natural version of this scenario is realized when s remains massless before MI.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish MEC project FPA2006-13825 and the project P07FQM02962 funded by the “Junta de Andalucía” and the FP6 Marie Curie Excellence Grant MEXT-CT-2004-014297.

REFERENCES

1. E.J. Copeland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994) [astro-ph/9401011].
2. G.R. Dvali, Q. Shafi, and R.K. Schaefer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1886 (1994) [hep-ph/9406319].
3. A.D. Linde and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1841 (1997) [hep-ph/9703209].
4. E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:0803.0547.
5. P. Binétruy and M.K. Gaillard, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3069 (1986).
6. G. Lazarides and C. Pallis, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 216 (2007) [hep-ph/0702260]; G. Lazarides, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A22**, 5747 (2007); C. Pallis, arXiv:0710.3074.
7. A. Linde, J. High Energy Phys. **11**, 052 (2001) [hep-ph/0110195].
8. C.P. Burgess *et al.*, J. High Energy Phys. **05**, 067 (2005) [hep-th/0501125].
9. E.J. Chun, K. Dimopoulos and D. Lyth, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 103510 (2004) [hep-ph/0402059].
10. M. Kawasaki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023508 (2003) [hep-ph/0304161].